



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,068	08/26/2003	T. Wade Fallin	13447.37	3366
44270	7590	09/21/2009	EXAMINER	
MEDICINELODGE INC. 124 South 600 West LOGAN, UT 84321			RYCKMAN, MELISSA K	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	3773			
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
09/21/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/648,068	Applicant(s) FALLIN ET AL.
	Examiner MELISSA RYCKMAN	Art Unit 3773

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MELISSA RYCKMAN.

(3) Barbara Daniels.

(2) Jackie Ho.

(4) Dave Meibos and Jo Hays.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant
2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Simonson, Fisher and Storz.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner clarified the previous office action, stating Simonson can be a 102 for several of the independent claims, and Fisher and Storz are a 103 for the other claims. In order to overcome the previous rejection/art the examiner suggested adding the threads at the distal tip and/or adding the structure that is seen in the current application in Fig. 2, element 156b. The examiner will update the search upon receiving a response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Melissa Ryckman/
Examiner, Art Unit 3773

/Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773